



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/799,083

03/12/2004

Charles S. Schasteen

048968-117958

8520

27148 7590 08/07/2009
POL SINELLI SHUGHART PC
700 W. 47TH STREET
SUITE 1000
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112-1802

EXAMINER

FORD, VANESSA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/07/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/799,083	Applicant(s) SCHASTEEN ET AL.	
	Examiner VANESSA L. FORD	Art Unit 1645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VANESSA L. FORD. (3) Kate Doty.

(2) Morgan Kirley. (4) Jennifer Wagner.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims under examination.

Identification of prior art discussed: Singh et al, Conkle et al, Alesina et al (Abstract only) and U.S. Patent No. 5,547,858.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Chris Knight and Dr. Julia Dibner were also present during the telephonic interview. A discussion was held regarding the 103(a) rejections of record. The state of the art regarding hydrocyclone technology was discussed. Applicants asserted that hydrocyclones apply extreme sheer forces and at the time of filing the isolation of viable oocysts with a hydrocyclone was unexpected.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vanessa L. Ford/ Examiner, Art Unit 1645	
--	--